Analysis of Whether Costs Described in Fiscal Notes are Included in sHB 6350 the Budget as Favorably Reported by the Appropriations Committee

Appropriations Committee Meeting - April 23, 2013

Note: For Bills on the agenda with proposed substitutes, the fiscal impact is based on the substitute language. Prepared by the Office of Fiscal Analysis

| | | Cost Included in sHB 6350 | | Cost Not Included in sHB 6350 | | <u> </u> |
|------------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Agenda Item # | Bill # | FY 14 \$ | FY 15 \$ | FY 14 \$ | FY 15 \$ | Comments |
| 1 | HB 6673 | - | - | Uncertain | Uncertain | Requires all privatization contracts over \$50,000 to undergo a cost-benefit analysis (removes exemption that current privatization contracts for a service do not require a cost-benefit analysis). |
| 2 | HB 6438 | - | - | Potential 75,000 | | - If the bill were to be implemented, there would be a one-time cost of approx. \$75,000 in FY 14 to hire a consultant to evaluate the retail sale and use of methoprene & resmethrin for mosquito control. |
| 3 | sHB 6526 | - | - | - | | - No fiscal impact. |
| 4 | HB 6530 | 50,000 | - | _ | | - One time cost for PURA to initiate a docket to develop CT based renewable energy sources. |
| 5 | SB 851 | - | - | Uncertain | Uncertain | See fiscal note for explanation. |
| 6 | sSB 1023 | - | - | Significant | Significant | See fiscal note for explanation. |
| 7 | sHB 5918 | - | - | Minimal | Minimal | DSS will incur minimal administrative costs to conduct the survey and produce the report. |